### SOCCER SECRETARIES

FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION: D. Follows, 22, Lancaster Gate, London,

SCOTTISH F.A.: W. P. Allan, 6, Park Gardens, Glasgow, C.3.

IRISH F.A.: W. J. Drennan, 20, Windsor Avenue, Belfast, 9. FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION OF WALES: H. Powell, 3, Fairy Road,

FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION OF IRELAND (Eire): J. I. Wickham. 80, Merrion Square, Dublin, C.5. LEAGUE OF IRELAND: E. J.

Dowling, 80, Merrion Square, Dublin, C.5.
FEDERATION INTERNATIONALE DE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION General Secretary-Dr. H. Kaser, F.I.F.A., P.I. Box 187, Zurich 30,

Switzerland. (Offices: 11, Hitzigweg, Zurich, 7). FOOTBALL LEAGUE: A. Hardaker, Lytham St. Annes, Lancs.

SCOTTISH LEAGUE: J. F. Denovan, C. A., 188, West Regent Street, Glasgow 2.

IRISH LEAGUE: J. H. Long, 16, Donegall Square South, Belfast. CENTRAL LEAGUE: T. F. Charnley, 211, Deepdale Road, Preston. FOOTBALL COMBINATION: M. L. Kirkup, 53, Barking Road,

LANCASHIRE COMBINATION: C. Thornton. 15. Cross Street.

MIDLAND LEAGUE: H. Chambers, 17. Lockton Avenue, Heanor,

NORTHERN LEAGUE: W. Dodds, J.P., "Arnside", West Auckland Road, Shildon.

WELSH LEAGUE: S. Jenkins, 124, Margam Road, Margam, Port Talbot. NORTH-EASTERN LEAGUE: J. C. Fairley, 17, Eastlands, High

Heaton, Newcastle-on-Tyne, 7.

NORTH-REGIONAL LEAGUE: J. B. Bennison, 35, West Quandrant, Firth Park, Sheffield. CHESHIRE COUNTY LEAGUE: J. R. Stockbridge, 15, Sandileigh

Avenue, Hale, Altrincham, Cheshire, SOUTHERN LEAGUE: W. Dellow, 1, Cartmel Close, Great Tylers, Wray

Common, Reigate, Surrey. WEST MIDLAND LEAGUE: C. G. Davis, 20b, High Street, Henleyin-Arden, Solihull, Warwicks.

PROFESSIONAL FOOTBALLERS' ASSOCIATION: C. Lloyd, 105, Corn Exchange Buildings, Hanging Ditch, Manchester, 4.

#### THE FRONT COVER

Gordon Banks of England and Stoke City In a magnificent leap during a England versus Scotland match at Wembley.

# FANS HAVE VOTED OUR TOP CLUBS REALLY SUPER

1,695,616 on the gate

#### BY THE EDITOR

THE FOOTBALL LEAGUE had one of its least spectacular vet one of its greatest seasons during 1966/67.

It more than maintained its high status when outside influences were stronger than ever before.

There was a theory that the winning of the World Cup would provide a new "live" audience for the game. Early season attendances quickly showed that the millions who had enjoyed watching the game during England's triumph. were content to remain armchair viewers.

European competition, too, was a distraction rather than a stimulus when it came to persuading people to pay at the

gate.

Yet attendances, at the end of the season, had risen by 1,695,616—the biggest increase for twenty years and only the third rise since the war.

This season there was no longer serious talk of a superleague involving English clubs devoted primarily to Europ-

ean competition.

Followers of the game now see that the first division of the Football League IS a super-league. To play in it is ambition enough for most.

To do well in it requires high qualities and brings ample rewards. European football for the elite half-dozen clubs is

sufficient variety.

Attendances were not only the quiet achievement. Without fuss or fanfare, the use of substitutes became part of the game. Perhaps this success explains the easy acceptance by the F.A. of a move to increase the number of substitutes to two and to allow their introduction for reasons other

than injury.

Free-for-all substitutes would end the necessity for players to feign injury at the behest of some coaches, and managers. Yet though there were occasional abuses of this kind, the existing rule was a practical success.

To achieve this with such a revolutionary new rule was close to a miracle, to risk throwing it all away would be madness,

Dangers to an extension of the rule are not obvious, but they would be real enough. Humiliation of players ordered off after a poor start is a drastic thing in a professional sport. What manager would want that public responsibility?

It would be an easy get-out for the temperamental player and it is certain that the crowds would try to influence the

manager's decisions.

Last season showed that crowds should not be given further excuses for such conduct. As it is, there were still far too many instances of pitch-invasion and other hooliganism.

#### The illustrious trio

Manchester United attracted the greatest crowds, home and away, and responded by giving their followers another

League Championship.

Matt Busby's benign management worked its wonders again. His team, with great individuals like Bobby Charlton, Denis Law and George Best, were good to watch as well as winners.

Manchester United have now equalled the record of seven

championships held by Arsenal and Liverpool.

Yet for all the talent at Old Trafford, Busby will not hesitate to spend freely on new players. The acquisition of goalkeeper Alec Stepney proved decisive in what was a close battle for many months.

Liverpool, the 1966 champions, were again the supreme professionals but lack of fire power beat them in the end. Nottingham Forest, playing with new vigour, challenged hard but found the Cup a distraction in the finish.

Blackpool, many times on the brink of relegation in

recent seasons, were doomed long before the end of this one. Newly promoted Southampton were in constant danger but 43 goals from Ron Davies helped pip Aston Villa.

Coventry, the ambitious, proved their vast publicity was not empty. They found a team blend to give them first division status for the first time. Wolverhampton returned to the top class to complete a Midlands promotion double.

Cardiff just managed to keep second division football for Wales but their once thriving neighbours Swansea, home of

many great players, slipped into Division IV.

Queens Park Rangers won Division three by a record margin. Within a month of the start of the season it was obvious they were a class above the rest of their division.

The product of Alec Stock's fine youth scheme achieved a blend with veterans like Les Allen and Jim Langley.

Middlesbrough had to win their last game of the season to join Queens Park Rangers in division two. They did it and take a potentially huge following with them.

# Ron Davies is Top Scorer Leading League Scorers 1966-67

DIVISION ONE—37 Davies (Southampton); 29 Hurst (West Ham); 24 Clarke (Fulham); 23 Greaves (Tottenham); Law (Manchester Utd); 21 Sinclair (Leicester), Tambling (Chelsea); 20 Martin (Sunderland), Moore (Nottm. Forest); 19 Clark (W.B. Albion), Dobing (Stoke), 18 Lochhead (Burnley); Gilzean (Tottenham).

DIVISION TWO—25 Dougan (9 Wolverhampton, 16 Leicester); 24 Gould (Coventry); 23 Sheffield (16 Norwich, 7 Doncaster); 22 Lee (Bolton); 21 Crawford (Inswich), Wagstaff (Hull); 20 Hector (16 Derby, 4 Bradford), Hunt (Wolverhampton); 19 Large (8 Northampton, 11 Oldham); 18 Woodruff (Crystal Palace), 17 Chappell (Rotherham), Chilton (Hull), Dobson (Huddersfield), Julians (Millwall), Leighton (Huddersfield).

DIVISION THREE—30 Marsh (Q.P. Rangers); 27 O'Rourke (Middlesbrough), Towers (Oldham); 25 Rogers (Swindon); 24 Harris (Reading), Stratton (Colchester); 23 Biggs (Bristol Rovers); 22 Curry (Mansfield), Horsfield (Middlesbrough), Manning (17 Shrewsbury, 5 Tranmere); 21 Brace (Mansfield), Stubbs (Torquay).

DIVISION FOUR: 23 Phythian (Hartlepools); 20 Chapman, R. (Lincoln); 19 Mulvaney (Hartlepools), Smith (Southend); 18 Atkins (3 Stockport, 15 Halifax), Howarth (Aldershot), McMillan (Wrexham), Mulholland (Barrow).

## Attendances **BOOM YEAR FOR TOP** LEAGUE CLUBS

THE individual attendance figures illustrate the 1 Football League's great boom year. Liverpool increased their gates yet conceded their place as the No. 1 drawing club to Manchester United.

Eighteen of the 22 first division clubs showed an increase -even Blackpool's crowds went up, though the club was

How much of the increase was due to the weather, it was relegated to Division II. the mildest winter for more than a decade, remains to be seen. But it could be significant that gates in Divisions other than the top did not show a proportionately large rise.

Next season could see the First Division take an even

larger share of the total attendances. Yet in this period of prosperity seven clubs failed to attract enough spectators in a year to pack Wembley-and one of those struggling clubs was third division Workington. TN:#Forence

one of those strugging DIVISION I Difference from								
1314	1077	O11 =	A	from				
		Total gate	Average	1965/66				
Le Le	ague	1966/67	1966/67	1900,000				
DO:	ition	190010	51,188	+12,420				
	1	1,074,940	31,140	<b>→</b> 7.853				
MANCHESTER UTD		634,597	30,219	+ 7,557				
MANCHEST	11	CEC 075	31,282	+ 7,557				
MANCHESTER SHEFFIELD WEDNY	2	656,925	25,527	+ 6,698				
NOTTM. FOREST	19	536,070	23,32	⊥ 4.484				
SOUTHAMPTON		615,697	29,319	+ 4,108				
SOUTHAMETON	16	012,007	42,606	+ 4,108				
	6	894,727	41,940	+ 3,620				
	ž	880,750	41,540	1.488				
EASIGNT	3	731,511	34,834	¥ 3,292				
Spurs	9	731,000	24,430	+ 3,222				
	18	513,028	31,108	+ 3,488 + 3,292 + 2,739				
Fulham	15	655,369	31,100	⊥. 2.514				
Filliam Cots	13	490,190	23,342	+ 2,138				
Fulham Manchester City	13	470,170	24,463	+ 4,100				
	8	513,717	25,932	+ 2,138 + 1,429				
	12	544,584	23,332	1. 1.193				
		432,600	20,600	1,164				
Stoke	10	CO4 020	30,200	+ 1,164				
	7	634,230	17,283	1,030				
	22	362,942	17,200	+ 997 + 541				
Arschar	24	994,160	47,341	541				
Arsenal Blackpool	5	120,666	20,508					
	14	430,666	35,224					
	- 4	739,697	33,420	885				
	7	454,195	21,628	1,708				
Leeds Aston Villa	21		32,085	1,700				
	20	673,781	31,731	2,757				
	17	666,347	219124					
Newcastie Sunderland	1.7							
Sunderland		184						

	DIVISION II Difference								
		League	Total gate	Average	from				
		position	1966/67	1966/67	1965/66				
	BIRMINGHAM	10	415,751	19,797	+ 5,399				
	COVENTRY	-	621,303	29,585	+ 4,215				
			240 526	45 316					
	Ipswich	5	340,536	16,216	+ 3,743				
	Crystal Palace	7	380,102	18,100	+ 3,291				
	Wolverhampton	2	520,519	24,787	+ 2,426				
	Miliwall	8	339,484	16,166	+ 2,188				
	Hall	12	519,394	24,733	+ 1,906				
	Derby	17	333,205	15,867	+ 1,716				
	Bolton W.	9	277,939	13,235	+ 1,230				
	Blackburn	4	309,136	14,711	+ 1,109				
	Plymouth	16	299,898	14,231	+ 1,064				
	Bury	žž	169,588	8,076	409				
	Charlton	19	264,835	12,611	+ 340				
	Preston	13	301,358	14,350	+ 327				
		18	217,233	10,344	¥ 248				
	Rotherham	14	217,433						
	Porismouth		311,453	14,832	+ 188 - 38				
	Norwich	11	313,851	14,945					
	Cardiff C.	20	215,439	10,259	<b>— 746</b>				
	Cartisle	3	235,187	11,199	1,468				
	Bristol C.	15	303,059	14,431	<b>— 2,866</b>				
	Huddersfield	6	312,986	14,904	3,418				
	Northampton	21	258,513	12,314	<b>— 6,320</b>				
	DIVISION III Difference								
		League	Total gate	Average	from				
		position	1966/67	1966/67	1965/66				
	Q.P. RANGERS	1	302,614	13,157	+ 4,895				
	MIDDLESBROUGH		404,474	17,586	+ 4,136				
	Mansfield	á	179,393	7,799	+ 1,713				
	Photosyles and	2	147.520	6.414	. ∓ 1.298				
	Sirewsbury	2 9 6 5 3	147,538		1,270				
	Bristol R.	2	235,823	10,253	+ 1,247				
	Watford		200,666	8,725	+ 1,030				
ı	Okiham	10	228,624	9,940	+ 997				
	Torquay	7	159,909	6,953	+ 745				
	Colchester	13	128,055	5,568	+ 417				

Bit .	League	Total gate	Average	from
	position	1966/67	1966/67	1965/66
Q.P. RANGERS	1	302,614	13,157	+ 4,895
MIDDLESBROUGH	2	404,474	17,586	+ 4,136
Mansfield	9	179,393	7,799	+ 1,713
Shrewsbury	6	147,538	6,414	+ 1,298
Bristol R.		235,823	10,253	+ 1,247
Watford	.5 3	200,666	8,725	+ 1,030
Oldham	10	228,624	9,940	997
Torquay	7	159,909	6,953	+ 745
Coichester	13	128,055	5,568	417
	18	120,492	5,239	- 58
Earthorpe	24	61,281	2,664	T 599
/orkington				
## ## de la company	15	147,715	6,422	
illingham	11	150,593	6,548	- 944
ownemough	20	122,645	5,332	996
/akall	12	190,826	8,297	<b>—</b> 999
righton	19	271,051	11,785	<b>— 1,013</b>
windon	8	271,085	11,786	<b>— 1,338</b>
Parlington	22	151,583	6,591	1,361
arimsby	16	134,562	5,850	1,388
Swansen	21	148,975	6,477	- 1,392
ievton Orient	17	137,556	5.981	- 1,397
Øxford	14	170,326	7,405	2,332
Seading	4	151,739	6,597	<b>— 2,359</b>
Poncaster	23	172,805	7,514	<b>— 3,070</b>
i demonster	200	2 0000	28074	- 5,010

STANSFIELD, F. (I) (Cardiff) 1948/9 v. S. STITFALL, R. (2) (Cardiff) 1952/3 v. E; 1956/7 v. Czecho. SULLIVAN, D. (17) (Cardiff) 1952/3 v. I, F, Y; 1953/4 v. I; 1954/5 v. E, I; 1956/7 v. S, E; 1957/8 v. I, H, Swdn, H, Braz; 1958/9 v. S, I; 1959/60 v. E, S.

TAPSCOTT, D. (14) (Arsenal) 1953/4 v. Aust; 1954/5 v. Y, S, E, I; 1955/6 v. E, S, Aust, I; 1956/7 v. I, Czecho, E/Germ; 1958/9 (Cardiff) v. E, I. THOMAS, D. (2) (Swansea) 1956/7 v. Czecho; 1957/8 v. E/Germ. THOMAS R. (1) (Swindon) 1966/7 v. l. THOMAS, S. (4) (Fulham) 1947/8 v. E, S, I; 1948/9 v. S.

- VEARNCOMBE, G. (2) (Cardiff) 1957/8 v. E/Germ; 1960/1 v. Eire. VERNON, R. (31) (Blackburn) 1956/7 v. I. Czecho, E/Germ, Czecho; 1957/8 v. E/Germ, E. S. Swdn; 1958/9 v. S; 1959/60 (Everton) v. I; 1960/1 v. Eire, S. E; 1961/2 v. I. Braz (2), Mex; 1962/3 v. S. H. E; 1963/4 v. E, S; 1964/5 (Cardia) v. En. I. le. 1965/6 v. E. Braz (2), 1964/5 (Cardia) v. E. S; 1964/5 (Stoke) v. Gr. I. It; 1965/6 v. E. Rus, S. D. I; 1966/7 v. I.
- WARD, D. (2) (Bristol Rovers) 1958/9 v. E; 1961/2 v. E. WEBSTER, C. (4) (Manchester United) 1956/7 v. Czecho; 1957/8 v. H, Mex, Braz.

WILLIAMS, G. (I) (Cardiff) 1950/I v. Switz. WILLIAMS, G. E. (28) (West Bromwich) 1959/60 v. 1; 1960/1 v. Eire, S. E. I, Sp; 1961/2 v. E; 1962/3 v. H, I; 1963/4 v. E, S, I; 1964/5 v. S, D, E, Gr (2), I, It, Rus; 1965/6 v. D, I, Braz, Braz B', Ch; 1966/7 v. S, E, I.
WILLIAMS, G. G. (5) (Swansea) 1960/1 v. Eire, I, Sp (2), H.

WILLIAMS, H. (4) (Newport) 1948/9 v. I, Switz (Leeds); 1949/50 v. I;

1950/I v. S. WILLIAMS, Herbert (2) (Swansea) 1964/5 v. Gr (2). WILLIAMS, S. (36) (West Bromwich) 1953/4 v. Aust; 1954/5 v. E, I; 1955/6 v. E; 1957/8 v. E, S, Isr (2), I, H, Mex, Swdn, H, Braz; 1958/9 v. S, E, I; 1959/60 v. E, S, I; 1960/I v. H; 1961/2 v. E, S, I, Braz (2) Mex; 1962/3 (Southampton) v. S, H, E, H; 1963/4 v. E, S; 1964/5 v. S, D, E. WITCOMBE, D. (3) (West Bromwich) 1946/7 v. S, E; (Sheffield Wednesday)

WOOSNAM, P. (17) (Leyton Orient) 1958/9 v. S, E; 1959/60 (West Ham v. E, S, 1; 1960/1 v. Eire, S, E, I, Sp, H; 1961/2 v. E, S, I, Braz; 1962/3 (Aston Villa) v. H, I.

## Don't miss getting the

Playfair Rugby Annual. 8/6d.

(Copy by post 9/2d.)

From:-

The Dickens Press, 161, Queen Victoria St., E.C.4.

F.A. Cup Final

# ATTRACTIVE TOTTENHAM **OUTPLAY CHELSEA**

## Tottenham 2, Chelsea 1

TOTTENHAM deserved to win the 1966/67 F.A. Cup and only a Chelsea follower would deny they were the more attractive team at Wemblev.

The Chelsea intention was to contain Tottenham players Greaves, Gilzean, Mackay and Venables. "Stop them and

you stop Tottenham" they were advised.

Only Gilzean was given any freedom yet Tottenham won because their unconsidered players had the ability to take advantage of the gaps which were left.

Right back Kinnear played as well as he has ever done. Mackay unselfishly stayed back and gave Mullery the licence

to move upfield.

#### The Tottenham habit

The goal chances went to Robertson and Saul and they took one apiece to give Spurs command for most of the game.

Tambling's goal for Chelsea came too late to make any difference, except to give Chelsea a 1-2 scoreline which did

not represent Tottenham superiority.

Winning THE Cup has become a Tottenham habit. This was their third visit to Wembley in seven years and the third time they have paraded the trophy from Edmonton to Tottenham Town Hall.

They have the ability to go on and win the European

Cup Winners Cup as they did four years ago.

For Chelsea there is at least the consolation of having achieved a final place after two successive semi-final failures.

Scorers-Tottenham: Robertson, Saul. Chelsea: Tambling.

# UP-TO-DATE CELTIC CONQUER EUROPE

THE jubilation was understandable when Celtic of Glasgow became the first non-Latin team to win the European Cup.

There was less excuse for the conclusions drawn from the

Scots success against Inter-Milan in Lisbon.

To call this a triumph for traditional Scottish football insults Celtic generally and their manager Jock Stein particularly.

Stein is a modern. He had seen for a dozen years that British football was out of date and that Scottish football

lagged behind the English.

Add to that his intense dislike of losing and the ability to convey his ideas and feelings to his players and the triumph becomes comprehensible.

The other false conclusion drawn from Lisbon is that Celtic's win was a triumph for attack against "method" foot-

ball.

## **Spirit**

Again Stein and his men are denigrated. True they plan for their moments of inspired opportunism but all individuality comes second to the basic team plan.

Celtic were defending in Lisbon as intensely as the Italian team. The difference was that their speed and spirit allowed them to do that defending in Inter-Milan's half of the field.

Inter-Milau, still caught up in fierce domestic competition (eventually they lost their Italian League Championship) and without injured forwards Jair and Suarez, could not force Celtic away.

They could not prevent Celtic from making overlapping moves almost to the goal-line on both wings and this was to

prove decisive.

Yet with eighty per cent possession in Inter's half, Celtic

did not score the winning goal until five minutes from full time.

Possibly Helenio Herrera, Inter's famous manager, calculated that Celtic would be unable to maintain the remarkable pace they set from the start. In that case he too underestimated Stein, He will not make that mistake again.

The European Cup was the peak of Celtic's achievements. Since no British club had even reached the final of this competition in the past it must rate even above Tottenham's League and F.A. Cup double as the greatest of all club feats by a team from this country.

Celtic won the Scottish League, the League Cup and the Scottish F.A. Cup, too. In 64 games they scored 196 goals

and conceded only 48.

The other great Glasgow club, Rangers, have not yet achieved their tactical revolution. Yet they reached the final of the European Cup-Winners Cup and were beaten only by an extra-time goal by Bayern Munich.

Rangers delay in changing a system which has brought an unbroken decade of domestic dominance is understandable.

They have now developed the defence which is the essential for success but have yet to achieve the stage where they can turn command into goals.

#### In final

Bayern Munich were almost as toothless yet they showed they have their priorities in order. Young Beckenbauer, seen in the World Cup to be a talented attacking player, is positioned in defence for his club and spends most of his time behind a back line of four.

This is how to achieve success. Entertainment ?—too often

that is another question.

England's representatives in the two major European competitions achieved little. Liverpool were knocked out by the little considered Ajax of Amsterdam and Everton failed against Saragossa.

Leeds exploits in the Fairs' Cup were more cheering. They reached the final (to be played early in season 1967/68) and had to beat another Scottish club Kilmarnock at the

semi-final stage.